

Published: Mar 16, 2006 12:30 AM
Modified: Mar 16, 2006 02:50 AM

A transfer tax? Impact fee instead

Your March 14 article "Dare has tax Wake could use" discussed imposing a 1 percent transfer tax on a property sale, and the idea that the seller of a home should be expected to pay the transfer tax. Why not the buyer? Why not split it?


Better yet, how about having those who actually cause the need for more schools and infrastructure to pay for the added burden on the county by paying an impact fee. After all, were it not for all the new construction going on, we wouldn't need near the number of new seats in schools, would we?

It's about time the legislature, the Raleigh City Council and the Wake County commissioners do what's in the best interests of their constituents instead of bowing and scraping before the building industry.

George Betts

Raleigh

All rights reserved. This copyrighted material may not be published, broadcast or redistributed in any manner.

© Copyright 2006, The News & Observer Publishing Company
A subsidiary of [The McClatchy Company](#) 

Story Tools

-  [Printer Friendly](#)
-  [Email to a Friend](#)
-  [Enlarge Font](#)
-  [Decrease Font](#)

More Letters

- [Exploiting fears](#)
- [A transfer tax? Impact fee instead](#)
- [Sunshine on pricing](#)
- [Our vice president](#)
- [Unlearned lessons](#)
- ['Uncompromising'](#)